



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/901,125	07/10/2001	Katsuya Demoto	1114-163	7206	
23117	7590 11/22/2005		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			HOSSAIN, TANIM M		
	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F N, VA 22203	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2145		

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/901,125	DEMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tanim Hossain	2145		

Total		2110					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	eplies: (1) an amendment, a f Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
following time periods:	in a Constantinu	•					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the	*	a final vaigation which are	:- later In no				
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the							
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory p							
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		, , ,	, , , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	•	`	· ' .				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr			because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	·	·					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	ponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: Claim amendments require further consideration	. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41	.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	ee attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u></u> .						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab the non-allowable claim(s).	le if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. To r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) wi		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided I The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	below or appended.		İ				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient failed to good and sufficient fail							
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		- 4-4 # #10 b-0-4					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is present and a processors are a processors and a processors are a processors and a processors and a processors are a processors and a processor	me <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	•	, ,	· '				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ie status of the claims after 6	entry is below or attac	cnea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO	/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:							
		TH JAS	N CARDONS				
		/ <	ON CARROAD				
			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments alleging that Shaffer does not use a communication apparatus and switching modes, among other things, are not persuasive. Any entity that is capable of communication constitutes a communication apparatus, for example. Switching modes, storing connection information, and the restoration of connection information are all disclosed Shaffer. Additionally, those features not explicitly taught by Shaffer are rendered obvious by the secondary references provided.